CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

A method for controlling an optical transmitter, the method comprising:
 changing laser bias to the optical transmitter from a first laser bias level
 to a second laser bias level in accordance with a laser bias control scheme; and

associated with the optical transmitter from a first value to a second value in

changing an electrical modulation amplitude of an optical signal

accordance with an electrical modulation amplitude control scheme.

2. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the laser bias control scheme and electrical modulation amplitude control scheme are defined such that at least a portion of the electrical modulation amplitude change is implemented at a different time

than implementation of the laser bias change.

3. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the laser bias control scheme

and the electrical modulation amplitude control scheme are defined such that the

electrical modulation amplitude change is implemented at substantially the same time as

implementation of the laser bias change.

WORKMAN NYDEGGER
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE

4. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the laser bias control scheme

and the electrical modulation amplitude control scheme are defined such that a

substantial portion of the laser bias change is implemented prior to implementation of a

substantial portion of the electrical modulation amplitude change.

5. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the electrical modulation

amplitude control scheme and laser bias control scheme are defined such that a

substantial portion of the electrical modulation amplitude change is implemented prior

to implementation of a substantial portion of the laser bias change.

6. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the laser bias control scheme

is defined such that at least a portion of the change to the laser bias is implemented

linearly with respect to time.

7. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the laser bias control scheme

is defined such that at least a portion of the change to the laser bias is implemented non-

linearly with respect to time.

8. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the electrical modulation

amplitude control scheme is defined such that at least a portion of the change to the

electrical modulation amplitude is implemented linearly with respect to time.

- Page 51 -

Docket No. 15436.299

WORKMAN NYDEGGER
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE

9. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the electrical modulation

amplitude control scheme is defined such that at least a portion of the change to the

electrical modulation amplitude is implemented non-linearly with respect to time.

10. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the laser bias control scheme

is defined such that changing the power to the optical transmitter from a first laser bias

level to a second laser bias level comprises one of: changing the power to the optical

transmitter from a minimum to a setpoint; or, changing the power to the optical

transmitter from a setpoint to a minimum.

11. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the electrical modulation

amplitude control scheme is defined such that changing the electrical modulation

amplitude to the optical transmitter from a first value to a second value comprises one

of: changing the electrical modulation amplitude to the optical transmitter from a

minimum to a setpoint; or, changing the electrical modulation amplitude to the optical

transmitter from a setpoint to a minimum.

12. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the laser bias control scheme

and the electrical modulation amplitude control scheme are respectively defined such

that the laser bias change and the electrical modulation amplitude change are

implemented in response to the occurrence of a predetermined event.

- Page 52 -

Docket No. 15436.299

- 13. The method as recited in claim 12, wherein the predetermined event comprises assertion of an optical transmitter disable signal.
- 14. The method as recited in claim 12, wherein the predetermined event comprises deassertion of an optical transmitter disable signal.

WORKMAN NYDEGG
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE

15. A method for controlling an optical transmitter, the method comprising: changing, during a first predetermined time slot:

laser bias to the optical transmitter from a first laser bias level to a second laser bias level, the change being implemented in accordance with a laser bias control scheme; and

an electrical modulation amplitude of an optical signal associated with the optical transmitter from a first value to a second value, the change being implemented in accordance with an electrical modulation amplitude control scheme; and changing, during a second predetermined time slot:

an electrical modulation amplitude of an optical signal associated with the optical transmitter from a first value to a second value, the change being implemented in accordance with the electrical modulation amplitude control scheme; and

laser bias to the optical transmitter from a first laser bias level to a second laser bias level, the change being implemented in accordance with the laser bias control scheme.

16. The method as recited in claim 15, wherein the first predetermined time slot is proximate in time to startup of the optical transmitter, and wherein the second predetermined time slot is proximate in time to shutdown of the optical transmitter.

ORKMAN NYDEGGEF

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE

17. The method as recited in claim 15, wherein the laser bias control scheme

and electrical modulation amplitude control scheme are respectively defined such that

in the first predetermined time slot, a substantial portion of the laser bias change is

implemented prior to implementation of a substantial portion of the electrical

modulation amplitude change, and wherein in the second predetermined time slot, a

substantial portion of the electrical modulation amplitude change is implemented prior

to implementation of a substantial portion of the laser bias change.

18. The method as recited in claim 15, wherein in the first predetermined

time slot, the first laser bias level comprises a minimum and the second laser bias level

comprises a setpoint, and wherein in the second predetermined time slot, the first laser

bias level comprises a setpoint and the second laser bias level comprises a minimum.

19. The method as recited in claim 15, wherein in the first predetermined

time slot, the first value of the electrical modulation amplitude comprises a minimum

and the second value of the electrical modulation amplitude comprises a setpoint, and

wherein in the second predetermined time slot, the first value of the electrical

modulation amplitude comprises a setpoint and the second value of the electrical

modulation amplitude comprises a minimum.

20. The method as recited in claim 15, wherein, in both the first and second

predetermined time slots, at least a portion of the change to the laser bias is

implemented linearly with respect to time.

- Page 55 -

Docket No. 15436.299

WORKMAN NYDEGGEF A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION ATTORNEYS AT LAW 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE 21. The method as recited in claim 15, wherein the electrical modulation amplitude control scheme is defined such that in both the first and second predetermined time slots, at least a portion of the change to the electrical modulation

amplitude is implemented linearly with respect to time.

22. The method as recited in claim 15, wherein the laser bias control scheme is defined such that in both the first and second predetermined time slots, a substantial portion of the laser bias change is implemented linearly with respect to time.